**In the High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh.**

C.R.No. 4672 of 2007 (O&M)
Decided on Sept 13,2007.

Mrs. Kanchan Singla and others -- Petitioners.

vs.

Satish Mahajan -- Respondent.

Present: Mr. Rakesh Garg,Advocate,for the petitioners.

**Permod Kohli, J:(Oral)**

This revision petition has been preferred against the judgment and order dated August 16,2007, passed by the Appellate Authority in appeal against the judgment and order dated February 22,2005, passed by the Rent Controller, Chandigarh.

The respondent-landlord's petition under Section 13 of the East Punjab Rent Restriction Act, seeking eviction on the ground of personal necessity,has been allowed and the Appellate Court has affirmed the findings. Both the Courts below have found the need of the landlord as genuine. There is no scope for interference.

At this stage, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners/tenants may be allowed some time to vacate the

demised premises. In the interest of justice, the petitioners-tenants' prayer is accepted on the following terms:-

(i)the petitioners shall vacate the demised premises on or before January 15,2008;

(ii)During this period, the petitioners shall continue to pay the rent as determined/payable continuously on or before 7th of every English Calendar month . They shall also pay the arrears, if any,within one month; and

(iii)the petitioners shall file an undertaking before this Court undertaking to vacate the premises on the aforementioned date,failing which, the respondent/landlord shall be entitled to evict them by execution of the judgment/decree. Apart from that, the petitioners shall also be liable for contempt of this Court.

In view of the above, this petition is dismissed.

**Sept 13,2007** **(Permod Kohli)**
**RR** **Judge**